for't, and showed what necessity belonged to't, and yet was denied.

Luc. How ?

2 *STRJN. I* tell you, denied, my lord.

Luc. What a strange case was that ? now, before the gods, I am ashamed on't. Denied that honourable man ? there was very little honour showed in't. For my own part, I must needs confess, I have received some small kindnesses from him, as money, plate, jewels, and such like trifles, nothing comparing to his; yet, had he mistook him, and sent to me [9], I should ne'er have denied his occasion so many talents[2].

him the book bound in white vellum, lying in his study, *in such* a place." We should now write in a *certain* place.

Again, in the Account-book, kept by Empson in the time ot Henry the Seventh, and quoted by Bacon in his History of that king:

" *Item,* Received of *such a one* five marks, for a pardon to be procured, and if the pardon do not pass, the money to be re-paid."

ct He sold *so much* of his estate, when he came of age," (meaning *a certain portion* of his estate,) is yet the phraseology of Scotland. M ALONE,

9 — yet, had he MISTOOK him, and sent to me,] We should read *misloofcd* him, i. e. overlooked, neglected to send to him.

WARBTJRTON.

I rather read, " yet had he *not* mistook him, and sent to me."

JOHNSON*.

Mr. Edwards proposes to read—" yet had he *missed* him." Lucius has just declared that he had had fewer presents from Timon, than Lucullus had received, who therefore ought to be the first to assist him. Yet, says he, had Timon *mistook him,* or overlooked that circumstance, and sent to me, 1 should not have denied, &c. STEEVENS.

That is, * had he (Timon) mistaken himself and sent to me, I would ne'er,' &c. He means to insinuate that it would have been a kind of mistake in Timon to apply to a person who had received such trifling favours from him, in preference to Lucullus, who had received much greater; but if Timon had made that mistake, he should not have denied him so many talents.

M. MASON.